Stochastic processes I

The ASTA team

Contents

1 Introduction to stochastic processes

1.1 Data examples

- A special type of data arises when we measure the same variable at different points in time with equal steps between time points.
- This data type is called a (discrete time) **stochastic process** or a **time series**
- One example is the time series of monthly electricity production (GWh) in Australia from Jan. 1958 to Dec. 1990 :

```
CBEdata <- read.table("https://asta.math.aau.dk/eng/static/datasets?file=cbe.dat", header = TRUE)
CBE <- ts(CBEdata[,3])
plot(CBE, ylab="GWh",main="Electricity production")
```
Electricity production

Time

• Another example is monthly measurements of the atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration measured at Mauna Loa 1959 - 1997:

dat<-**ts**(co2) **plot**(co2)

Time

- Other examples:
	- **–** Hourly wind speed measurements
	- **–** Daily elspot prices

– An electrical signal measured each millisecond

• Aim: Model, analyse and make predictions for such datasets.

1.2 Stochastic processes

- We denote by X_t the variable at time *t*. We denote the time points by $t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$.
	- **–** We will always assume the data is observed at equidistant points in time (i.e. time steps between consecutive observations are the same).
- Measurements that are close in time will typically be similar: observations are not statistically independent!
- Measurements that are far apart in time will typically be less correlated.

2 Important stochastic processes

2.1 Example 1: White noise

- A stochastic process is called a **white noise process** if the X_t are
	- **–** statistically independent
	- **–** identically distributed
	- have mean 0 and variance σ^2
- It is called **Gaussian white noise**, if

– *X^t* ∼ *norm*(0*, σ*²)

```
x = \text{rnorm}(1000, 0, 1)ts.plot(x, main = "Simulated Gaussian white noise process")
```
Simulated Gaussian white noise process

Time

- White noise processes are the simplest stochastic processes.
- Real data does typically not have complete independence between measurements at different time points, so white noise is generally not a good model for real data, but it is a building block for more complicated stochastic processes.

3 Example 2: Random walk

- A **random walk** is defined by $X_t = X_{t-1} + W_t$, where W_t is white noise.
- Here are 5 simulations of a random walk:

```
x = matrix(0, 1000, 5)for (i in 1:5) x[,i] = cumsum(rnorm(1000,0,1))
ts.plot(x,col=1:5)
```


• The random walk may come back to zero after some time, but often it has a tendency to wander of in some random direction.

4 Example 3: First order autoregressive process

- A first order autoregressive process, AR(1), is defined by $X_t = \alpha X_{t-1} + W_t$, where W_t is white noise and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
	- **–** Typically −1 ≤ *α* ≤ 1
	- For $\alpha = 0$ we get white noise
	- For $\alpha = 1$ we get a random walk
- Simulation of 3 AR(1)-processes with different α values:

w = **ts**(**rnorm**(1000)) $x1 = filter(w, 0.5, method="recursively")$ x2 = **filter**(w,0.9,method="recursive") x3 = **filter**(w,0.99,method="recursive")

ts.plot(x1,x2,x3,col=1**:**3)

• Next time we will consider autoregressive processes in much more detail and higher order, where they become quite flexible models for data.

5 Mean, autocovariance and stationarity

5.1 Mean function

• The **mean function** of a stochastic process is given by

$$
\mu_t = \mathbb{E}(X_t)
$$

- A process is called first order stationary if $\mu_t = \mu$.
- **Examples:**
	- The white noise process: $\mu_t = 0$ by definition.
	- **–** The random walk:

$$
\mu_t = \mathbb{E}(X_t) = \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} + W_t) = \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1}) + \mathbb{E}(W_t) = \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1}) = \mu_{t-1}
$$

So the random walk is first order stationary.

– Similarly,

$$
\mu_t = \mathbb{E}(X_t) = \mathbb{E}(\alpha X_{t-1} + W_t) = \alpha \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1}) + \mathbb{E}(W_t) = \alpha \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1}) = \alpha \mu_{t-1}
$$

The AR(1)-model is first order stationary if $\mu_0 = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$, otherwise not. **–** The electricity production in Australia did not look first order stationary.

plot(CBE,main="Electricity production")

Electricity production

• The mean function shows the mean behavior of the process, but individual simulations may move far away from this. For example, the random walk has a tendency to move far away from the mean. White noise on the other hand will stay close to the mean.

5.2 Autocovariance/autocorrelation functions

• The **autocovariance** function is given by

$$
\gamma(t, t+h) = \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+h}) = \mathbb{E}((X_t - \mu_t)(X_{t+h} - \mu_{t+h}))
$$

- *h* is called the **lag**.
- Note that

$$
\gamma(t, t) = \text{Var}(X_t) = \sigma_t^2
$$

is the variance at time *t*.

• The **autocorrelation function (ACF)** is

$$
\rho(t, t+h) = \text{Cor}(X_t, X_{t+h}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+h})}{\sigma_t \sigma_{t+h}}
$$

- It holds that $\rho(t, t) = 1$, and $\rho(t, t + h)$ is between -1 and 1 for any *h*.
- The autocorrelation function measures how correlated X_t and X_{t+h} are related:
	- **–** If X_t and X_{t+h} are independent, then $\rho(t, t+h) = 0$
	- $-$ If $\rho(t, t + h)$ is close to one, then X_t and X_{t+h} tends to be either high or low at the same time.
	- $-$ If $\rho(t, t + h)$ is close to minus one, then when X_t is high X_{t+h} tends to be low and vice versa.

5.3 Stationarity

• We call a stochastic process **second order stationary** if

– the mean is constant, $\mu_t = \mu$

- τ^2 the variance $\sigma_t^2 = \text{Var}(X_t, X_t)$ is constant.
- **–** the autocorralation function only depends on the lag *h*:

$$
\rho(t, t + h) = \rho(h)
$$

- If a process is second order stationary, then also the autocovariance is stationary $\gamma(t, t + h) = \gamma(h)$, i.e. it is a function of only the lag and is easier to work with and plot.
- Intuitively, stationarity means that the process behaves in the same way no matter which time we look at.
- There are other kinds of stationarity, but *in this course, stationarity will always mean second order stationarity.*

5.4 Stationarity and autocorrelation - example

• Consider an AR(1) process $X_t = \alpha X_{t-1} + W_t$. We consider stationarity and autocorrelation for this process.

– We have already seen that we need $\mu_t = 0$ to have first order stationarity.

• Now consider the variance. Since $X_t = \alpha X_{t-1} + W_t$,

$$
\sigma_t^2 = \text{Var}(X_t) = \text{Var}(\alpha X_{t-1} + W_t) = \text{Var}(\alpha X_{t-1}) + \text{Var}(W_t) = \alpha^2 \text{Var}(X_{t-1}) + \text{Var}(W_t) = \alpha^2 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \sigma^2
$$

- (Here we used that $\text{Var}(X + Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y)$ when X and Y are independent).
- If the variance is constant, then $\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_{t-1}^2$ and

$$
\sigma_t^2 = \alpha^2 \sigma_t^2 + \sigma^2
$$

- $-$ We see that the variance can only be constant if $-1 < α < 1$. In this case $σ_t^2 = \frac{σ^2}{1-α^2}$.
- $-$ For $|\alpha| \geq 1$, the variance will increase over time. The process is cannot be stationary (including random walk).
- To find the autocorrelation, first observe

$$
X_{t+h} = \alpha X_{t+h-1} + W_{t+h} = \dots = \alpha^h X_t + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \alpha^i W_{t+h-i}
$$

• Then we find the autocovariance:

$$
\gamma(t, t+h) = \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+h}) = \text{Cov}(X_t, \alpha^h X_t + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \alpha^i W_{t+h-i}) = \text{Cov}(X_t, \alpha^h X_t) + \text{Cov}(X_t, \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \alpha^i W_{t+h-i}) = \alpha^h \text{Cov}(X_t, X_t) + \text{Cov}(X_t, \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \alpha^i W_{t+h-i})
$$

– (Here we used the computation rules Cov(*X, Y* + *Z*) = Cov(*X, Y*) + Cov(*X, Z*) and Cov(*X, aY*) = $aCov(X, Y)$.

• If the variance is constant, we can calculate the autocorrelation:

$$
\frac{\text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+h})}{\sigma_t \sigma_{t+h}} = \frac{\alpha^h \sigma^2 / (1 - \alpha^2)}{\sigma^2 / (1 - \alpha^2)} = \alpha^h.
$$

- So: the AR(1)-model is stationary if $-1 < \alpha < 1$ and $\sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2/(1 \alpha^2)$ otherwise not.
- The autocorrelation decays exponentially for a stationary AR(1)-model. This is illustrated for 3 different *α* values:

```
h = 0:20acf1 = 0ˆh # AR(1) with alpha = 0 (or white noise)
acf2 = 0.5ˆh # AR(1) with alpha = 0.5
acf3 = 0.9ˆh # Ar(1) with alpha = 0.9
plot(matrix(rep(h,3),3),cbind(acf1,acf2,acf3),col=rep(1:3,each=length(h)),
     pch=rep(1:3,each = length(h)),xlab="h",ylab="ACF")
```


6 Estimation

6.1 Estimation

- The mean and autocovariance/autocorrelation functions are theoretical constructions defined for stochastic processes, but what about data? Here we have to estimate them.
- We will assume that the process is stationary.
- The (constant) mean can be estimated the usual way:

$$
\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} x_t
$$

• The autocovariance function can be estimated as follows (remember it only depends on *h*, not on *t* in the case of stationarity):

$$
\hat{\gamma}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} (x_t - \bar{x})(x_{t+h} - \bar{x})
$$

- The (constant) variance is estimated as $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\gamma}(0)$.
- An estimate of the autocorrelation function is obtained as

$$
\hat{\rho}(h) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}(h)}{\hat{\gamma}(0)}
$$

6.2 The correlogram

- A plot of the sample acf as a function of the lag is called a **correlogram**.
- To get an idea of how a correlogram looks, we make simulated data from different models and plot the correlograms below.

Series w

White noise:

```
w = ts(rnorm(100))
par(mfrow=c(1,2))
plot(w)
acf(w)
```


- The correlogram is always 1 at lag 1
- For white noise, the true autocorrelation drops to zero.
- The estimated autocorrelation is never exactly zero hence we get the small bars.
- The blue lines is a 95% confidence band for a test that the true autocorrelation is zero.
- Remember that there is 5% chance of rejecting a true null hypothesis. Thus, 5% of the bars can be expected to exeed the blue lines.
- AR(1) process with $\alpha = 0.9$:

```
w = ts(rnorm(100))
x1 = filter(w, 0.9, method="recursively")par(mfrow=c(1,2))
plot(x1)
acf(x1)
```


• The true acf decays exponentially.

7 Non-stationary data

7.1 Check for stationarity

- We will primarily look at stationary processes the next time, but these will not always be good models for data.
- First we need to check whether the assumption of stationarity is okay.
	- **–** One check is visual inspection of a plot of *x^t* vs *t* to see whether there is any indication of non-stationarity.
	- **–** Another visual check is a plot of the correlogram. If this tends very slowly to zero, this indicates non-stationarity.
- Note: even though $\rho(h)$ is only well-defined for stationary models, we can plug any data (stationary or not) into the estimation formula. The estimate may help detecting deviations from stationarity.

7.2 Correlograms for non-stationary data

• Sine curve with added white noise:

```
w = ts(rnorm(100))
x1 = 5*sin(0.5*(1:100)) + w
par(mfrow=c(1,2))
```
plot(x1) $\overline{\text{act}}(x1)$

- The periodic mean of the process results in a periodic behavior of the correlogram.
- A periodic behavior in the correlogram suggests seasonal behavior in the process.
- Straight line with added white noise:

w = **ts**(**rnorm**(100)) $x1 = 0.1*(1:100) + w$ **par**(mfrow=**c**(1,2)) **plot**(x1) **acf**(x1)

- The linear trend results in a slowly decaying, almost linear correlogram.
- Such a correlogram suggests a trend in the data.
- Data example: Electricity production.

par(mfrow=**c**(1,2)) **plot**(CBE) **acf**(CBE)

- There seems to be an increasing trend in the data.
- There is a periodic behavior around the increasing trend.
- It is reasonable to believe that the period is 12 months.
- We have the model

$$
X_t = m_t + s_t + Z_t
$$

where

- **–** *m^t* is the (deterministic) trend
- s_t is a (deterministic) seasonal term $(s_t = s_{t+12})$
- **–** *Z^t* is a random (hopefully) stationary part

7.3 Detrending data

- The trend *m^t* in the data can be estimated by a **moving average**.
- In the case of monthly variation,

$$
\hat{m}_t = \frac{\frac{1}{2}x_{t-6} + x_{t-5} + \dots + x_t + \dots + x_{t+5} + \frac{1}{2}x_{t+6}}{12}
$$

- We remove the trend by considering $x_t \hat{m}_t$.
- Next we find the seasonal term s_t by averaging $x_t \hat{m}_t$ over all measurements in the given month.

– E.g., the value of *s^t* for January is given by averaging all values from January.

- We are left with the random part $\hat{z}_t = x_t \hat{m}_t \hat{s}_t$.
- For the Australian electricity data:

Decomposition of additive time series

• The random term does not look stationary. The solution is to log-transform the data - see Ch. 1.5.5 in the book.

```
logCBE <- ts(log(CBEdata[,3]),frequency=12)
plot(decompose(logCBE))
```


Decomposition of additive time series

Random part of CBE data

random<-**decompose**(logCBE)**\$**random[7**:**382] **acf**(random, main="Random part of CBE data")