# Stochastic processes II

The ASTA team

### Contents

1	Basic stochastic models	1	
<b>2</b>	White noise	<b>2</b>	
3	Simulation of white noise	<b>2</b>	
4	Random walk	3	
5	Properties of random walk	4	
6	Simulation of random walk	4	
7	Differencing	5	
8	Example: Exchange rate	6	
9	Auto-regressive (AR) models	8	
	9.1 Auto-regressive model of order 1: $AR(1)$	8	
	9.2 Auto-regressive models of higher order	15	
10	10 Moving average models 18		
	10.1 Simulation of $MA(q)$ processes $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	19	
11	Mixed models: Auto-regressive moving average models	<b>21</b>	

### 1 Basic stochastic models

Today we will consider various stochastic process models for modeling data evolving over time.

Last time we saw three basic models:

- White noise: independent random variables not very interesting by itself, but an essential building block in more complicated/realistic models.
- Random walk: cumulatively adding random variables moves around randomly, and resulting in non-stationary behaviour.
- Autoregressive process: AR(1) is a simple model for something evolving over time, and is stationary or not depending on choice of parameters. The main model class considered today is ARMA (autogressive moving average), which is a generalisation of AR(1).

First we take a recap of the three models above, and add some details.

### 2 White noise

A time series  $w_t$ , t = 1, ..., n is white noise if the variables  $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$  are independent and identically distributed with a mean of zero.

From the definition it follows that white noise is a second order stationary process since the variance function  $\sigma^2(t) = \sigma^2$  is the same constant for all t and the autocovariance is  $Cov(w_t, w_{t+k}) = 0$  for all  $k \neq 0$  which does not depend on t. We summarize this as:

$$\mu = 0$$

$$\gamma(k) = Cov(w_t, w_{t+k}) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 \text{ for } k = 0\\ 0 \text{ for } k \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\rho(k) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } k = 0\\ 0 \text{ for } k \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Often we will also assume the distribution of each  $w_t$  is Gaussian (i.e.  $w_t \sim \operatorname{norm}(0, \sigma)$ ) and then we call it Gaussian white noise.

### 3 Simulation of white noise

To understand how white noise behaves we can simulate it with R and plot both the series and the autocorrelation:

```
w <- rnorm(100, mean = 0, sd = 1)
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,0,0))
ts.plot(w)
acf(w)</pre>
```



It is a good idea to repeat this simulation and plot a few times to appreciate the variability of the results.

## 4 Random walk

A time series  $\boldsymbol{x}_t$  is called a random walk if

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + w_t$$

where  $w_t$  is a white noise series. Using  $x_{t-1} = x_{t-2} + w_{t-1}$  we get

$$x_t = x_{t-2} + w_{t-1} + w_t$$

Substituting for  $x_{t-2}$  we get

 $x_t = x_{t-3} + w_{t-2} + w_{t-1} + w_t$ 

Continuing this way we would get an infinite sum of white noise

$$x_t = w_t + w_{t-1} + w_{t-2} + w_{t-3} + \dots$$

However, we will assume we have a fixed starting point  $x_0 = 0$  such that

 $x_t = w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_t$ 

### 5 Properties of random walk

A random walk  $x_t$  has a constant mean function

$$\mu(t) = 0$$

since the random walk at time t is a sum of t white noise terms that all have mean zero.

However, the variance function

 $\sigma^2(t) = t \cdot \sigma^2$ 

clearly depends on the time t, so the process is not stationary. The variance function is derived from the general fact that for **independent random variables**,  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , the variance of the sum is

$$Var(y_1 + y_2) = Var(y_1) + Var(y_2).$$

Thus,

$$Var(x_t) = Var(w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_t) = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 + \dots + \sigma^2 = t\sigma^2$$

The non-stationary autocovariance function is

$$Cov(x_t, x_{t+k}) = t\sigma^2, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

which only depends on how many white noise terms  $x_t$  and  $x_{t+k}$  have in common (t) and not how far they are separated (k).

By combining the two results we obtain the non-stationary autocorrelation function

$$Cor(x_t, x_{t+k}) = \frac{Cov(x_t, x_{t+k})}{\sqrt{Var(x_t)Var(x_{t+k})}} = \frac{t\sigma^2}{\sqrt{t\sigma^2(t+k)\sigma^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k/t}}$$

When t is large compared to k we have very high correlation (close to one) and even though the process is not stationary we expect the correlogram of a reasonably long random walk to show very slow decay.

### 6 Simulation of random walk

We already know how to simulate Gaussian white noise (with **rnorm**) and the random walk is just a cumulative sum of white noise:

```
w <- rnorm(1000)
rw <- cumsum(w)
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,0.5,0.5))
ts.plot(rw)
acf(rw, lag.max = 100)</pre>
```



### 7 Differencing

The slowly decaying acf for random walk is a classical sign of non-stationarity, indicating there may be some kind of trend. In this case there is no real trend, since the theoretical mean is constant zero, but we refer to the apparent trend which seems to change directions unpredictiably as a stochastic trend.

If a time series shows these signs of non-stationarity we can try to study the time series of differences and see if that is stationary and easier to understand:

$$\nabla x_t = x_t - x_{t-1}.$$

Since we assume/define  $x_0 = 0$  we get

$$\nabla x_1 = x_1$$
$$\nabla x_2 = x_2 - x_1$$
$$\nabla x_3 = x_3 - x_2$$

etc.

Specifically when we difference a random walk  $x_t = x_{t-1} + w_t$  we recover the white noise series  $\nabla x_t = w_t$ :

```
diffrw <- diff(rw)
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,0.5,0.5))
ts.plot(diffrw)
acf(diffrw, lag.max = 30)</pre>
```



In general if a time series needs to be differenced to become stationary we say that the series is integrated (of order 1).

### 8 Example: Exchange rate

If we look at the exchange rate from GBP to NZD, we observe what looks like an unpredictable stochastic trend and we would like to see if it could reasonably be described as a random walk.

```
www <- "https://asta.math.aau.dk/eng/static/datasets?file=pounds_nz.dat"
exchange_data <- read.table(www, header = TRUE)
exchange <- ts(exchange_data, start = 1991, freq = 4)
plot(exchange)</pre>
```



To this end we difference the series and see if the difference looks like white noise:

```
diffexchange <- diff(exchange)
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,0.5,0.5))
plot(diffexchange)
acf(diffexchange)</pre>
```



The first order difference looks reasonably stationary, so the original exchange rate series could be considered integrated of order 1. However, there is an indication of significant autocorrelation at lag 1, so a random walk might not be a completely satisfactory model for this dataset.

### 9 Auto-regressive (AR) models

#### 9.1 Auto-regressive model of order 1: AR(1)

A significant auto-correlation at lag 1 means that  $x_t$  and  $x_{t-1}$  are correlated so the previous value  $x_{t-1}$  can be used to predict the current value  $x_t$ . This is the idea behind an auto-regressive model of order one AR(1):

$$x_t = \alpha_1 x_{t-1} + w_t$$

where  $w_t$  is white noise and the auto-regressive coefficient  $\alpha_1$  is a parameter to be estimated from data. The model is only stationary if  $-1 < \alpha_1 < 1$  such that the dependence of the past decreases with time.

#### 9.1.1 Properties of AR(1) models

For a stationary AR(1) model with  $-1 < \alpha_1 < 1$  we have previously shown that

- $\mu(t) = 0$
- $Var(x_t) = \sigma^2(t) = \sigma^2/(1 \alpha_1^2)$

•  $\gamma(k) = \alpha_1^k \sigma^2 / (1 - \alpha_1^2)$ 

• 
$$\rho(k) = \alpha_1^k$$

Below are the theoretical autocorrelation functions for the following AR(1) models:

- Model 1:  $x_t = 0.7x_{t-1} + w_t$
- Model 2:  $x_t = -0.7x_{t-1} + w_t$

```
k <- 0:10
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,0.5,0.5))
plot(k, 0.7^k, type = "h", xlab = "")
plot(k, (-0.7)^k, type = "h", ylim = c(-1,1))
abline(h=0)</pre>
```



#### 9.1.2 Simulation of AR(1) models

R has a built-in function arima.sim to simulate AR(1) and other more complicated models called ARMA and ARIMA. It needs the model (i.e. the autoregressive coefficient  $\alpha_1$ ) and the desired number of time steps n. To simulate 200 time steps of AR(1) with  $\alpha_1 = 0.7$  we do:

 $x \leftarrow arima.sim(model = list(ar = 0.7), n = 200)$ plot(x)



acf(x)
k = 0:30
points(k, 0.7<sup>k</sup>, col = "red")

Series x



Here we have compared the empirical correlogram with the theoretical values of the model.

#### 9.1.3 Fitted AR(1) models

To estimate the parameters in an AR(1) process, we use the so-called Yule-Walker equations. This essentially means that we pick the parameter  $\alpha_1$  such that the theoretical autocorrelation function fits the correlogram of the data as close as possible. We skip the details of this, and simple use the function **ar**:

fit  $\langle - ar(x, order.max = 1) \rangle$ 

The resulting object contains the value of the estimated parameter and a bunch of other information. In this case the input data are artificial so we know we should ideally get a value close to 0.7:

fit\$ar

## [1] 0.6319065

An estimate of the variance of the estimate  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  is given in fitsasy.var.coef (the estimated std. error is the square root of this):

fit\$asy.var.coef

## [,1]
## [1,] 0.003033809
se <- sqrt(fit\$asy.var.coef)
se</pre>

## [,1] ## [1,] 0.05508003

ci <- c(fit\$ar - 2\*se, fit\$ar + 2\*se) ci

## [1] 0.5217464 0.7420665

The AR(1) model defined earlier has mean 0. However, we cannot expect data to fulfill this. This is fixed by subtracting the average  $\bar{x}$  of the data before doing anything else, so the model that is fitted is actually:

$$x_t - \bar{x} = \alpha_1 \cdot (x_{t-1} - \bar{x}) + w_t$$

To predict the value of  $x_t$  given  $x_{t-1}$  we use that  $w_t$  is white noise so we expect it to be zero on average:

$$\hat{x}_t - \bar{x} = \hat{\alpha}_1 \cdot (x_{t-1} - \bar{x})$$

So the predictions are given by

$$\hat{x}_t = \bar{x} + \hat{\alpha}_1 \cdot (x_{t-1} - \bar{x}), \quad t \ge 2$$

Given the predictions we can estimate the model errors as usual by the model residuals:

$$\hat{w}_t = x_t - \hat{x}_t, \quad t \ge 2.$$

If we believe the model describes the dataset well the residuals should look like a sample of white noise:



This naturally looks good for this artificial dataset.

#### 9.1.4 AR(1) model fitted to exchange rate

A random walk is an example of a AR(1) model with  $\alpha_1 = 1$ , and it is non-stationary. This didn't provide an ideal fit for the exchange rate dataset, so we might suggest a stationary AR(1) model with  $\alpha_1$  as a parameter to be estimated from data:

```
fitexchange <- ar(exchange, order.max = 1)
fitexchange$ar
## [1] 0.890261
resexchange <- na.omit(fitexchange$resid)
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,1,1))
plot(resexchange)
acf(resexchange)</pre>
```



This does not appear to really provide a better fit than the random walk model proposed earlier.  $\Delta D(1) = \Delta D(1) = \Delta D(1)$ 

An alternative would be to propose a AR(1) model for the differenced time series  $\nabla x_t = x_t - x_{t-1}$ :

```
dexchange <- diff(exchange)
fitdiff <- ar(dexchange, order.max = 1)
fitdiff$ar</pre>
```

## [1] 0.3451507

```
resdiff <- na.omit(fitdiff$resid)
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,1,1))
plot(resdiff)
acf(resdiff)</pre>
```



#### 9.1.5 Prediction from AR(1) model

We can use a fitted AR(1) model to predict future values of a time series. If the last observed time point is t then we predict  $x_{t+1}$  using the equation given previously:

$$\hat{x}_{t+1} = \bar{x} + \hat{\alpha}_1 \cdot (x_t - \bar{x}).$$

If we want to predict  $x_{t+2}$  we use

$$\hat{x}_{t+2} = \bar{x} + \hat{\alpha}_1 \cdot (\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}).$$

And we can continue this way. Prediction is performed by **predict** in R. E.g. for the AR(1) model fitted to the exchange rate data the last observation is in third quarter of 2000. If we want to predict 1 year ahead to third quarter of 2001 (probably a bad idea due to the stochastic trend):

```
pred1 <- predict(fitexchange, n.ahead = 4)
pred1</pre>
```

```
## $pred
##
             Qtr1
                      Qtr2
                                Qtr3
                                         Qtr4
## 2000
                                     3.453332
## 2001 3.384188 3.322631 3.267830
##
## $se
##
             Qtr1
                        Qtr2
                                   Qtr3
                                             Qtr4
## 2000
                                        0.1767767
## 2001 0.2366805 0.2750411 0.3020027
```

Note how the prediction returns both the predicted value and a standard error for this value. So we predict that the exchange rate in third quarter of 2001 would be within  $3.27 \pm 0.6$  with approximately 95% probability.

We can plot a prediction and approximate 95% pointwise prediction intervals with ts.plot (where we use a 10 year prediction – which is a very bad idea – to see how it behaves in the long run):

```
pred10 <- predict(fitexchange, n.ahead = 40)
lower10 <- pred10$pred-2*pred10$se
upper10 <- pred10$pred+2*pred10$se
ts.plot(exchange, pred10$pred, lower10, upper10, lty = c(1,2,3,3))</pre>
```



### 9.2 Auto-regressive models of higher order

The first order auto-regressive model can be generalised to higher order by adding more lagged terms to explain the current value  $x_t$ . An AR(p) process is

$$x_t = \alpha_1 x_{t-1} + \alpha_2 x_{t-2} + \dots + \alpha_p x_{t-p} + w_t$$

where  $w_t$  is white noise and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_p$  are parameters to be estimated from data.

The notation can be a little cumbersome when we have many terms, so we introduce the backshift operator B, that takes the time series one step back, i.e.

$$Bx_t = x_{t-1}$$

This can be used repeatedly, for example  $B^2 x_t = BBx_t = Bx_{t-1} = x_{t-2}$ . We can then make a polynomial of backshift operators

$$\alpha(B) = 1 - \alpha_1 B - \alpha_2 B^2 - \dots - \alpha_p B^p$$

and write the AR(p) process as

$$\alpha(B)x_t = w_t.$$

The parameters cannot be chosen arbitrarily if we want the model to be stationary. To check that a given AR(p) model is stationary we must find all the roots of the characteristic equation

$$1 - \alpha_1 z - \alpha_2 z^2 - \dots - \alpha_p z^p = 0$$

and check that the absolute value of each root is greater than 1. This can be written with the polynomial from above, but with z inserted instead of B, i.e.

$$\alpha(z) = 0.$$

Solving a p-order polynomial is hard for high values of p, so we will let R do this for us.

#### 9.2.1 Estimation of AR(p) models

For an AR(p) model there are typically two things we need to estimate:

- 1. The maximal non-zero lag p in the model.
- 2. The autoregressive coefficients/parameters  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p$ .

For the first point we can use AIC (Akaike's Information Criterion). This is essentially a balance between simplicity and good fit of a model. The AIC results in a single real number, where smaller is better. The ar function in R uses AIC to automatically select the value for p by calculating the AIC for all models with p between 1 and some chosen maximal value, and picking the one with the smallest AIC.

Once the order is chosen and the estimates  $\hat{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \hat{\alpha}_p$  are found the corresponding standard errors can be found as the square root of the diagonal of the matrix stored as **asy.var.coef** in the fitted model object.

#### 9.2.2 Example of AR(p) model

We use an example of monthly global temperatures expressed as anomalies from the monthly average in 1961-1990. We reduce the dataset to the yearly mean temperature, and fit an AR(p) model to this. A good fit would indicate that the higher temperatures over the last decade could be explained by a purely stochastic process which just has dependence on the temperature anomalies from previous year and eventually might as well start decreasing again. (However, this does not mean that there is no climate crisis! There is lots of scientific evidence of this based on much more complicated models and more detailed data.)

```
global_data <- scan("https://asta.math.aau.dk/eng/static/datasets?file=global.dat")
global_monthly <- ts(global_data, st = c(1856,1), end = c(2005,12), freq = 12)
global <- aggregate(global_monthly, FUN = mean)
plot(global)</pre>
```





globalfit <- ar(global, order.max = 10)
globalfit</pre>

```
##
## Call:
## ar(x = global, order.max = 10)
##
## Coefficients:
                2
                         3
                                 4
##
        1
## 0.6825 0.0032 0.0672 0.1730
##
## Order selected 4 sigma<sup>2</sup> estimated as 0.01371
globalresid <- na.omit(globalfit$resid)</pre>
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,1,1))
plot(globalresid)
acf(globalresid, lag.max = 30)
```



We are not assured that the estimated model will be stationary, but we can solve  $\alpha(z) = 0$  and check if the solutions all have absolute values larger than 1, in which case the estimated model is stationary:

abs(polyroot(c(1,-globalfit\$ar)))

## [1] 1.045256 2.029234 1.650963 1.650963

### 10 Moving average models

Another class of models are moving average (MA) models. An moving average process of order q, MA(q), is defined by

$$x_t = w_t + \beta_1 w_{t-1} + \beta_2 w_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_q w_{t-q}$$

where  $w_t$  is a white noise process with mean zero and variance  $\sigma_w^2$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_q$  are parameters to be estimated.

The moving average process also has a short notation using the backshift operator, given by

$$x_t = \beta(B)w_t$$

where the polynomial  $\beta(B)$  is given by

$$\beta(B) = 1 + \beta_1 B + \beta_2 B^2 + \dots + \beta_q B^q.$$

Since a moving average process is a finite sum of stationary white noise terms it is itself stationary and therefore the mean and variance is time-invariant (same constant mean and variance for all t):

- Mean  $\mu(t) = 0$  Variance  $\sigma^2(t) = \sigma_w^2(1 + \beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 + \dots + \beta_q^2)$

The autocorrelation function, for  $k \ge 0$ , is

$$\rho(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0\\ \sum_{i=0}^{q-k} \beta_i \beta_{i+k} / \sum_{i=0}^{q} \beta_i^2 & k = 1, 2, \dots, q\\ 0 & k > q \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta_0 = 1$ .

#### Simulation of MA(q) processes 10.1

To simulate a MA(q) process we just need the white noise process  $w_t$  and then transform it using the MA coefficients. If we e.g. want to simulate a model with  $\beta_1 = -0.7$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.5$ , and  $\beta_3 = -0.2$  we can use arima.sim:

```
xsim <- arima.sim(list(ma = c(-.7, .5, -.2)), n = 200)</pre>
plot(xsim)
```



Time

The theoretical autocorrelations are in this case:

$$\rho(1) = \frac{1 \cdot (-0.7) + (-0.7) \cdot 0.5 + 0.5 \cdot (-0.2)}{1 + (-0.7)^2 + 0.5^2 + (-0.2)^2} = -0.65$$

$$\rho(2) = \frac{1 \cdot 0.5 + (-0.7) \cdot (-0.2)}{1 + (-0.7)^2 + 0.5^2 + (-0.2)^2} = 0.36$$

$$\rho(3) = \frac{1 \cdot (-0.2)}{1 + (-0.7)^2 + 0.5^2 + (-0.2)^2} = -0.11$$

```
acf(xsim)
points(0:25, c(1,-.65, .36, -.11, rep(0,22)), col = "red")
```





#### 10.1.1 Estimation of MA(q) models

To estimate the parameters of a MA(q) model we use arima:

```
xfit <- arima(xsim, order = c(0,0,3))
xfit</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## arima(x = xsim, order = c(0, 0, 3))
##
## Coefficients:
##
             ma1
                     ma2
                               ma3
                                    intercept
##
         -0.7601
                  0.5362
                           -0.1961
                                       0.0156
          0.0722
                  0.0788
                           0.0718
                                       0.0396
## s.e.
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.9261: log likelihood = -276.48, aic = 562.97
```

The function **arima** does not include automatic selection of the order of the model so this has to be chosen beforehand or selected by comparing several proposed models and choosen the model with the minimal AIC.

### 11 Mixed models: Auto-regressive moving average models

A time series  $x_t$  follows an auto-regressive moving average (ARMA) process of order (p,q), denoted ARMA(p,q), if

$$x_{t} = \alpha_{1}x_{t-1} + \alpha_{2}x_{t-2} + \dots + \alpha_{p}x_{t-p} + w_{t} + \beta_{1}w_{t-1} + \beta_{2}w_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_{q}w_{t-q}$$

where  $w_t$  is a white noise process and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_q$  are parameters to be estimated.

We can simulate an ARMA model with arima.sim. E.g. an ARMA(1,1) model:

xarma <- arima.sim(model = list(ar = -0.6, ma = 0.5), n = 200)
plot(xarma)</pre>



Estimation is done with arima as before.

#### 11.0.1 Example with exchange rate data

For the exchange rate data we may e.g. suggest either a AR(1), MA(1) or ARMA(1,1) model. We can compare fitted model using AIC (smaller is better):

exchange\_ar <- arima(exchange, order = c(1,0,0))
AIC(exchange\_ar)</pre>

## [1] -37.40417

```
exchange_ma <- arima(exchange, order = c(0,0,1))
AIC(exchange_ma)</pre>
```

## [1] -3.526895

```
exchange_arma <- arima(exchange, order = c(1,0,1))
AIC(exchange_arma)</pre>
```

## [1] -42.27357

exchange\_arma

```
##
## Call:
## arima(x = exchange, order = c(1, 0, 1))
##
## Coefficients:
##
                         intercept
            ar1
                    ma1
         0.8925 0.5319
                            2.9597
##
## s.e. 0.0759 0.2021
                            0.2435
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.01505: log likelihood = 25.14, aic = -42.27
```

```
par(mfrow = c(2,1), mar = c(4,4,1,1))
resid_arma <- na.omit(exchange_arma$residuals)
plot(resid_arma)
acf(resid_arma)</pre>
```







Lag